

**From:** David Bruns [mailto:davidbruns@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2015 4:55 PM  
**To:** Brian Johnson; brianj@jp.ibm.com  
**Cc:** Susan Larson; Eliot Callon; JB Handley; Robert Sharp; Janet Simmons  
**Subject:** Re: ASIJ1968 Newsletter Issue 2015-1 June 12,2015

Hello Brian,

Further to my mail on Sunday about the R&G report and for the sake of transparency, I attach for you a copy of comments I am making about the R&G report. If I had been a director who saw the report on May 18 when there was still time, I would have recommended serious consideration of withholding a sizeable portion of the payment to R&G. You will see from my attached comments that the paragraph on page 25 about my correspondence with the school is so false and misleading that had I seen it in advance before publishing, I would have been justified to demand it to be changed or to request to add my own story at the end of the report. Seeing my story published in that false and reprehensible way for the whole school community is a source of pain and anger for me.

The new directors pledged (very commendably) to have the report translated to Japanese to help Japanese authorities reach Moyer's potential Japanese victims. It is clear from the report that no attempt has been made by R&G to map out where Moyer was holding his educational programs for Japanese children from 1984 to 2004. We know only that it was "all over the country". A simple internet search in English would have given R&G names of two of the Japanese persons (a nationally known environmental educator and a Miyake science teacher) who helped Moyer organize some of his programs. That is how Student #1 and the 1970s alumnus found out about these programs in 2003 and then told Moyer to stop them. This is just one of the many gaps in the R&G report that need to be closed if there is to be any usefulness or credibility in translating it for the Japanese authorities. I stand by my previous recommendation that the gap-closing investigation be carried out by someone other than R&G. By the way, Moyer's widow may still have files or travel records that indicate where he had been linking up with Japanese children. If he used a travel agent, help might be found there. It is regrettable that Moyer's contacts with Japanese children were not part of R&G's scope, since they would never have happened were it not for the school's cover-up. I commend you also for your offer to provide them free counselling.

I saw on page 26 of the R&G report that not all board members received the same information about the Moyer case. Attached is a copy of a letter I wrote to ASIJ c/o Stephanie Toppino on Nov. 18, 2013 and asked to have distributed to both the directors and the trustees. R&G did not report on it though they had a copy. It was not distributed to the trustees and maybe not to directors either, and R&G should have caught that. It was sent 8 days before Student #14's letter which the R&G report indicates was the trigger point for the board's spring 2014 actions. My letter said that the school administration knew about Moyer as early as 1977. R&G says that some directors didn't know this, so Stephanie must have kept my letter from these directors as well. Even when the board held an emergency meeting in December 2013 regarding the Moyer matter (page 25 of the R&G report), these directors did not receive a copy of my letter. I suppose if they had seen its date, they could have objected to it having been withheld from them

earlier. R&G should have read the minutes from that meeting and identified that this information was being withheld.

The significance is this. Since Student #14's letter triggered action and not my earlier one and since mine was willfully suppressed, it indicates that Stephanie (and probably also her executive committee) were planning to stonewall me on this one just as they had on my three previous letters in 2011-2012. It appears that if Student #14 hadn't discovered Student #1's blog and bravely come forward, we could still have the cover-up continuing today with no investigation, no KCS or other new student protection policies, and no assistance for victims. Stephanie and those on previous executive committees (including Edwin Ladd) who were informed of Student #1's blog and my letters and repeatedly chose a course of evasion should have no place in our school. I'll be very glad for the day when the housecleaning is completed.

Best regards,  
David Bruns  
ASIJ Class of 1968  
Stavanger, Norway

On Sun, Jun 14, 2015 at 6:14 PM, David Bruns <[davidbruns@gmail.com](mailto:davidbruns@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Hello Brian,

For your information, here is a newsletter that our class agents sent out Friday to the Class of 1968.

I understand that the school is about to distribute the Ropes & Gray investigative report and that it is reported to have severe deficiencies. Have the directors considered asking the law firm representing the survivors group for an offer to prepare a new version that fills in as many gaps as possible? They have already done their own investigative work and could look at the raw material collected by R&G and then make a proposal to you of the additional work that would be needed to have a more complete report. The school community has been expecting a "full truth once and for all" type of report and this would probably be as close as one could come in a reasonably short time.

Best regards,  
David Bruns '68