

Ormøyveien 47
N-4085 Hundvåg, Norway
April 2, 2016

ASIJ Board of Directors & Board of Trustees
(open letter)
Attn.: Chair Brian Johnson
1-1-1 Nomizu, Chofu-shi,
Tokyo 182-0031, Japan

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Reference is made to my letters to the ASIJ Board of Directors, Board of Trustees and Head of School in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 which you have either answered evasively (2011) or not at all (the remainder). After ten months since my previous letter to you, I conclude that it is not possible to get your attention by mail and have therefore obtained the kind consent of one of the Thirteen Sisters to deliver this to you personally at the school's meeting with them on April 4. The wait was at their request to give you reasonable time to respond to the previous mails.

This letter is to request the boards' action to end ASIJ's massive long-term cover-up of three areas:

1. The school's responsibility for the sexual abuse of Japanese children by Jack T. Moyer from 1984 to 2004.
2. The unethical behavior and/or misconduct in connection with the Moyer sexual abuse case by the current Head of School, Edwin Ladd, and the ASIJ Boards of Directors since my first letter to them in 2011 and up to today.
3. The facts concerning the manifest inadequacies of the Ropes & Gray independent investigative report regarding sexual abuse at ASIJ and the reasons for these inadequacies.

The following compensatory measures are hereby requested:

1. Publicly apologize to the Japanese schools, educational programs, families and children who were exposed to a serial pedophile abuser of young girls due to ASIJ's failure to report his misconduct to police as required by Japanese law.
2. Publicly apologize to the Japanese Imperial Family for their children being exposed to a serial pedophile due to the school's neglect.
3. Work proactively to publicize throughout Japan that hundreds if not thousands of innocent children were exposed to this pedophile and may have been sexually abused. Openly describe the various kinds of abuse to which Moyer subjected his victims so that people will recognize and understand what is unacceptable. Publicize extensively the school's willingness to pay for counseling costs for Moyer's victims. It is commendable that ASIJ is paying for counseling for two of these Japanese victims, but it is necessary now to find the rest of them and offer the same help.

4. Ensure that there are appropriate consequences for the Head of School and members of the Boards of Directors for their misconduct. Set an example for the students and the whole community that behavior has consequences. Administrators who have engaged in misconduct should not be allowed to leave the school in good standing. Be honest and transparent with the ASIJ Community about these failures. Do not allow former directors who participated in the cover-up to remain as members of the Board of Trustees. Clean house as you have been repeatedly requested before.
5. It is impossible for the Ropes and Gray report to provide closure for the ASIJ Community or Moyer's victims when it contains lies and misrepresentations, omits much vital evidence and gives clear indications of being a whitewash to protect recent directors of the school. Engage the law firm of Crew Janci LLP of Portland, Oregon to correct the deficiencies and issue a new report. They already know its deficiencies quite well from having prepared their own internal documentation on behalf of the Thirteen Sisters. You should direct Ropes and Gray to cooperate fully with Crew Janci, including providing documentation of any communications with ASIJ administrators or directors who may have influenced the unsatisfactory outcome. Crew Janci should have a hotline for the ASIJ Community to provide input and should be given authority to follow up the vital issues left unanswered by R&G. The Board of Directors and Statutory Auditors should provide all relevant background information about the mandate given to Ropes and Gray and how this may have changed over time. The board had promised to provide a thorough, fair, appropriate and independent report to the victims and the rest of the ASIJ Community and it is time to keep this promise.
6. A major failing of the Ropes and Gray report is that it contains no coverage of Moyer's exposure to Japanese children after he quit as an ASIJ classroom teacher in 1984. This is despite repeated requests to the school to ensure this was included in the R&G mandate. Crew Janci should therefore be authorized to obtain necessary resources in Japan to document the full extent of Moyer's sexual abuse. The revised report should be translated into Japanese and made public.

Supporting Documentation


Much of the evidence of cover-up and misconduct referred to above is already publicly documented at the ASIJ Class of 1968 Tsunagu website at http://www.asij1968.com/asij_stuff_jmt.aspx. I would refer to all three lists of documents on that page.

Three chronological lists are appended and made a part of this document and give in detail much information that should have been included in the R&G report. These cover each of the three areas listed above which ASIJ has been covering up. They must be

read to have a full understanding of the seriousness of the school's misconduct and the justification for the six requested compensatory measures.

I expect that this time, the school will give serious consideration to the recommendations in the letter and its appendices and will provide a prompt response. Please ensure that copies of this letter are distributed to all Directors and Trustees of the school. I also encourage that copies be made available to interested high school students and parents who desire to know more of the truth in this case.

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink on a light beige background. The signature reads "David R. Bruns" in a cursive script.

David R. Bruns

ASIJ Class of 1968

bcc:

Appendix A - Assistance for Japanese victims

1. An internet search will show that Moyer's activities with Japanese children from 1984 to 2004 were well known. Moyer received praise and awards in the media for his educational programs. The school leadership cannot have missed that a serial pedophile was extensively involved with Japanese children, yet it did nothing to prevent the sexual abuse of these children.
2. In the summer of 2003, two ASIJ victims conveyed their alarm to the ASIJ administration that Moyer was still working with Japanese children (R&G report p. 23).
3. In February 2004, the same two ASIJ victims wrote an open email stating that Moyer had been working with Japanese students in grades 5-11 all over the country. This was received by the Head of School and Board Chair at the time (R&G report p. 23).
4. On 09.12.2011 I wrote to the Board Chair requesting among other things for the school to identify and assist all possible victims, though I did not specifically list Japanese victims. The board never replied to me on this point.
5. On 18.11.2013 I wrote to Board Chair S. Toppino and alerted her that there were Japanese victims that needed help, stating "In fact, if [Moyer's] track record of about one new ASIJ victim per year continued, there could be 15-20 Japanese youth out there, mostly in their 20's, suffering the terrible consequences alone without help." See <http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc26-DavidBruns-03212014.pdf>
6. I sent further mail reminders to Toppino on 18.01.2014, 22.03.2014 and 10.06.2014, to ensure that the school didn't forget to find and help the Japanese victims. Toppino never once mentioned Japanese victims in any reply mail.
7. The ASIJ Class of 1968 sent a petition to the school on 01.05.2014 asking it to please reach out to Moyer's Japanese victims, among other things. No reply was forthcoming.
8. A Japan Times article on 09.06.2014 contained the following: "When asked whether the investigation would reach out to the Japanese schools where Moyer had access to young children, Stephanie Toppino, chair of the board of directors, said, "We will have no further comment pending the completion of the investigation." This is the first acknowledgment that the school was aware of Japanese victims. The R&G report one year later shows that Japanese victims were not a part of the scope (see p. 11).
9. The same Japan Times article also reported the following: "David Bruns, a 1968 graduate, also expressed concerns that the inquiry would overlook the possible abuse of Japanese children by Moyer. The serial pedophile is believed to have worked with Japanese schoolchildren between the mid-1980s and 2003. "The school has a moral obligation to help the forgotten Japanese victims. From the board's ignoring of alumni pleas to help these victims, it is clear that they don't care about them," Bruns said. "This is unconscionable behavior, unworthy of educators and parents."
10. In my 10.06.2014 mail to Toppino, I explained why I thought that the Japanese victims will be ignored by the Board as long as they think they can get away with

it. I also pointed out the need to expand R&G's mandate to have the police check suicide records of young Japanese women who were of an age and circumstances where they could have been victimized by Moyer and to see if any suicide notes mentioned sexual molestation.

<http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc27-DavidBruns-06142014.pdf>

11. In telephone interviews with R&G on 18.08.2014 and 29.10.2014 and in a mail on 09.08.2014, I made R&G aware of the need to find and help Japanese victims.
12. On 16.04.2015, I sent R&G a copy of my comments to Toppino on 10.06.2014 about suicide victims in case she hadn't forwarded them to R&G.
13. On 24.04.2015, I wrote to the Board of Directors and among other things asked "Have you fought to have the board be proactive in reaching the many presumed Japanese victims of Jack Moyer, to help them find a road to support and healing? Have you insisted on immediate action on this from the beginning since reaching out to the Japanese victims does not need to wait for the Ropes & Gray investigation and we therefore have no excuse to delay showing compassion for their current continued suffering?"
14. In a letter to the Head of School on 11.05.2015 I included that immediate decisive action was needed by the school administration to "Use Japanese media and authorities to reach all possible Japanese victims of Moyer's sexual abuse and ensure that they were provided similar assistance."
15. On 05.06.2015, ten days before finally issuing the R&G report, the Board of Directors wrote to the ASIJ Community and made six commitments including "In order to assist Japanese authorities to reach potential Japanese victims, the report will be translated and provided to them." This of course begs the question of what use a translated report can be if it doesn't cover Moyer's activities with Japanese victims. The Board knew the report excluded Japanese victims, so such a commitment is at best an insincere meaningless token and an apparent attempt to continue the cover-up of ASIJ's true responsibility for these children being victimized.

Appendix B - Cover-up of Misconduct of ASIJ Directors and Administrators since 2011

1. According to pages 24-25 of the R&G report, Edwin Ladd's predecessor as Head of School recalls briefing Ladd about Moyer in 2010 and leaving him a file on the Moyer matter. Ladd does not deny being briefed on the case, but does deny ever receiving case documents from his predecessor. At any rate, it is clear that Ladd knew about the Moyer case from the beginning of his tenure and did nothing about it until threatened by legal action in Nov. 2013, even when I challenged the board to do so in both 2011 and 2012.
2. On 09.12.2011, I wrote the ASIJ Board of Directors and challenged them to publicly acknowledge the school's responsibility for past sexual abuse by its own staff, thoroughly investigate and report, identify and assist all possible victims, and help other schools to learn from ASIJ's failures. I gave them the link to Janet Simmons' blog so that they had specific information about victims if they wished to offer help.

3. The reply from Head of School Ladd on 20.02.2012 was entirely evasive, not addressing any of my challenges and merely continuing the cover-up of his predecessors. It did not mention the blog and the school made no effort to reach or help the victims documented there. Ladd's letter falsely claimed that the school was continuing to support victims.
4. On 13.11.2012, I wrote again to the Board of Directors, pointing out that they had not answered my challenges, stating that it appeared that they did not take the Moyer case seriously, and saying that I could only conclude that the board and administration had decided to continue the cover-up of their predecessors. I urged them to reconsider and to take responsibility.
5. In an email to Ladd of 01.12.2012 I challenged the school to take necessary actions to fulfill the school's obligations towards victims and their families, police authorities, accrediting bodies and stakeholders.
6. Ladd's email on 02.12.2012 ignored my 01.12.2012 challenges to the school but said the 13.11.2012 letter was under discussion and that I would receive a response when deemed appropriate. My three communications in 2011-12 were stonewalled by the board and Head of School who continued their predecessors' cover-up.
7. On 03.11.2013, I mailed Ladd requesting contact information for the current board and confirmation that the Board of Directors itself had received my letters of December 2011 and November 2012. Again I was stonewalled by Ladd and had to eventually reach the board through the public affairs office.
8. In an 18.11.2013 mail to the Board of Directors c/o the new Chair Stephanie Toppino I informed that Ladd and the Board of Directors had not answered the points of my previous letters. Though she answered politely, she never addressed the ongoing cover-up, thereby becoming a part of the cover-up herself.
9. On 26.11.2013, a new alumna sent her story of being abused by Moyer to the Board of Directors, mentioning that she had retained a lawyer. This finally prompted them to hold an emergency meeting in December and issue a letter to the ASIJ Community on 17.03.2014 informing about Moyer's sexual abuse and falsely implying that the school had learned of the case only in November 2013. The Board of Directors and the Head of School were deliberately hiding their role in the cover-up of the Moyer case.
10. A Japan Times article three days later on 20.03.2014, states "Approached for comment on allegations that school officials had been aware of Moyer's crimes for many years, board Chairwoman Toppino told The Japan Times, "ASIJ has communicated all that it will say publicly on this matter in the letter sent to our community this past Monday." Again Toppino was stonewalling, proving the intentions of the Board of Directors and Head of School to continue their cover-up.
11. On 04.04.2014, alumnus J.B. Handley '87 wrote to the Boards of Directors and Trustees, documenting the school's long history of covering up the Moyer case including Ladd's role since 2011, and demanding that the administrators who had taken part in the cover-up must be terminated immediately.

12. On 01.05 2014, the ASIJ Class of 1968 petition to the Board of Directors asked among other things why Head of School Ladd had not been already terminated, given his long record of breaching ethical standards.
13. In May 2014 was the annual meeting of the Board of Trustees. I received the following message from an alumni high school parent on 06.05.2015. "On numerous occasions, Mr. Ladd stated that the first he heard of this was in November of 2013. He actually restated this at the trustee meeting last year." Whether Mr. Ladd has lied to the trustees to hide his cover-up will need to be confirmed by further investigation.
14. The Board of Directors informed the ASIJ Community on 04.06.2014 that Ropes and Gray would carry out an independent investigation which would be "thorough, fair and appropriate" and would "interview...current and former board members [and] administrators...assess how ...administrators responded...It will cover the period...up to and including today." This indicates that the actions of Ladd, Toppino and the then Board of Directors would be scrutinized and reported. As will be documented in the Appendix C, Ropes & Gray did not live up to this but instead covered over all misconduct by current administrators and board members in the report, distorting and lying to accomplish this.
15. On 10.06.2014 I wrote to Board Chair Toppino stating that it was very regrettable that Head of School Ladd continued in his position despite his documented complicity in the cover-up since 2011.
16. In telephone interviews with R&G on 18.08.2014 and 29.10.2014 and in a mail on 09.08.2014, I made R&G aware of the ongoing cover-up by the Head of School and directors of their own misconduct including their failure to reply to my mails.
17. Please see this key communication to Ropes & Gray on 20.10.2014: <http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc32-DavidBruns-10202014.pdf> which states that "the attached communications directly implicate the current Head of School and certain board members in the cover-up of the Moyer case and in negligence in following up on victims." Further, to aid R&G's investigative efforts, I listed 39 questions to pose to the school which if answered honestly would probably have incriminated the Head of School and directors in misconduct. This begs the question whether these questions were sent to the school and whether the school told R&G to stonewall. In such case, there will be further evidence of a cover-up. I concluded my mail by saying I was astonished by the "fact that Mr. Ed Ladd still has the confidence of the ASIJ board when my correspondence alone is sufficient to prove his involvement in a cover-up. It would appear to be relevant for you to investigate whether they are covering up for each other, if it doesn't create a conflict with your mandate."
18. My letter to the Board of Directors on 24.04.2015 sums up the moral and ethical corruption of the board and Head of School in handling the Moyer case. (<http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc34-DavidBruns-04242015.pdf>) Their conflict of interest due to their misconduct disqualifies them from any handling of the investigation (ASIJ Conflict of Interest Policy No. 2200). The complicit board members and Head of School must be replaced immediately.
19. My letter to the Board of Trustees of 06.05.2015 includes "It seems that many have missed the point about why the ASIJ Board of Directors appears incapable of action. The real reason is that the board has been in express violation of its

own conflict of interest policy since at least February 2012 by allowing members who benefit from hiding the facts and depth of the scandal to take part in decisions on the case. These include the Head of School and the Chair of your own Board of Trustees.”

20. On 11.05.2015, I wrote an open letter to Head of School Ladd, using seven exhibits to make the case for his resignation. It documented why neither he nor the Board of Directors could be trusted to speak the truth. The evidence presented speaks for itself. <http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc36-DavidBruns-05112015.pdf>
21. My 04.06.2015 letter to you and the Board of Directors pointed out that even with newly elected boards, house cleaning was incomplete. The Head of School and the trustees who had been complicit with him in the cover-up while they were directors all needed to be replaced. The open letter of 11.05.2015 from the high school student body provided further compelling reasons why Ladd should be dismissed for cause.
22. In summary, abundant and convincing evidence from 2010 to the present has been presented by many sources concerning cover-up of the Moyer scandal and other misconduct by the Head of School and Board of Directors. To this day I have seen no reply of any kind from the boards as well as no coverage in the R&G investigative report. This is convincing and ample evidence that today’s Board of Directors and Board of Trustees are willfully continuing their predecessors’ sorry practice of stonewalling and covering over the truth.
23. The fact that today’s Board of Directors seems incapable of terminating the Head of School for cause begs the questions of what leverage he may wield over them and whether the school’s governing documents (bylaws) need to be amended. The bylaws appear to give too much power to the Head of School and Board Chair to run things on their own. More accountability needs to be built in. Toppino and Ladd used their positions to manipulate and hide the truth and Ladd is even still holding on. The trustees need to contact other schools for ideas about how to ensure better accountability in the governing structure.

Appendix C - The Incomplete Independent Investigation

1. The Board of Directors announced an independent investigation by Ropes and Gray in a mail to the ASIJ Community on 04.06.2014.
2. A major discrepancy occurred in the R&G database. The announcement stated that “The investigation will examine all school records regarding Jack Moyer.” In contrast, the R&G report on page 33 states “The School also advised Ropes & Gray that its current email architecture did not allow for searches to be run on a system-wide basis. Accordingly, Ropes & Gray’s review was limited to the emails and other electronic data that were collected voluntarily from individuals affiliated with the School.” 21st century technology would have likely overcome a hurdle like this if there had been a will to be forthcoming on the part of the school. This needs to be revisited. Further, should the school have known that their

email database was not searchable when they promised to provide all school records regarding Jack Moyer?

3. There is no way to quantify the data that R&G missed due to ASIJ's "email architecture". For a start, neither the school, the Head of School or the Board Chair provided R&G with any of my e-mail correspondence with them. If they wouldn't voluntarily provide copies of this very relevant correspondence, it leaves the door open to the possibility of their having withheld much more significant documentation.
4. In mid-August 2014, two and a half months into the investigation, Ropes and Gray informed me that they had received none of my correspondence with the school. The letters from 2011 and 2012 about the Moyer case which I had sent by registered mail were withheld by the school and not provided to the investigators. This calls into serious question not only the entire investigation report but also the integrity of the school administration and board.
5. It is disturbing that R&G was also not provided a copy of the very important 08.02.2004 letter to the ASIJ community from two victims giving, among other important facts, the background for Moyer's suicide and that he had been working with Japanese children all over the country as late as 2003. Not one knowledgeable person at the school thought to provide this. I had to send this document to R&G after my interview on 18.08.2014 since they knew nothing about it. The R&G report on p. 23 states that both the Head of School and Board Chair had received this mail in 2004.
6. Another serious flaw appears in the board's June 2014 announcement which states that the investigation "will involve interviews with former students **who elect to come forward**, as well as current and former board members, administrators, faculty, alumni, parents and others who may have relevant information." [*my emphasis*] It does not appear that R&G had a mandate to be proactive in following leads and contacting people directly. If they did, it was limited. This is one more factor that calls into serious question the reliability and completeness of their report. I waited two and a half months and was not contacted by them before I took initiative myself. Several important leads which I gave them were not followed up despite reminders I sent them.
7. Though the Board of Directors' June 2014 announcement states that "Ropes & Gray is tasked with ensuring the investigation is thorough, fair and appropriate with respect to all concerned", the school clearly did not give R&G the data, the cooperation or the mandate they needed in order to achieve thoroughness. The school owes an apology to the ASIJ Community for hindering R&G and for their misleading statement in the announcement.
8. Though the Board of Directors' June 2014 announcement describes R&G as "respected" and with "extensive experience with similar investigations", my experience with R&G showed just the opposite. I found them to be a second-rate firm doing an unprofessional job. The first five emails they wrote me to arrange an interview were anonymous with no name or company logo. I requested advanced information of what they wished to cover in the interview for preparation purposes, but they were not able to say anything. My mail requesting an interview summarized five points about how I knew Jack Moyer

and I attached my correspondence with the school. When we had the interview nine days later, the two interviewers had still not taken the time to familiarize themselves with either my mail or the attachments. The questions they asked were perfunctory and not probing, showing little insight in the case.

9. After the Thirteen Sisters met with Ropes & Gray in Portland in September 2014, more than three months into the investigation, they informed me that R&G's lead investigator, Jim Dowden, had not heard of my name and was not familiar with the evidence I had sent to R&G more than a month before.
10. I wrote to Dowden on 20.10.2014, providing copies of the school correspondence he hadn't read and 39 questions raised by the documents for R&G to use in investigating misconduct by the current Head of School and directors. <http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc32-DavidBruns-10202014.pdf> Whether or not R&G relayed these questions to the school, there is no evidence in the report that any answer was received. A new report will need to answer how such significant evidence could be ignored in R&G's report. Did the school stonewall when R&G tried to pursue this lead or had R&G already been told that misconduct by the Head of School and Board of Directors was off limits for their report? This is a huge gap in the report that must be filled.
11. In the 20.10.2014 mail to R&G I asked "What initiatives did Ropes & Gray take to follow up my request in my telephone interview to investigate whether the reasons for Jack and Mary Moyer's divorce had any connection to his relationships to female students?" Mary Moyer had close colleagues at ASIJ including the head librarian, Lavinia Downs, who might have been able to place Moyer's first misconduct with his female students at a much earlier date than is currently known, if they knew that this was a reason for the divorce. Since Downs was married to a later Head of School who was part of the Moyer cover-up, this was an important lead to follow. The failure of R&G to follow up this lead leaves a significant hole in the R&G report.
12. Also in the 20.10.2014 mail to R&G, I asked "Has Ropes and Gray investigated Jack Moyer's personal relationships to the Japanese imperial family (both adults and children)? How did ASIJ warn the imperial family about Jack Moyer's history of sexual abuse?" R&G ignored this question in their report. It must be explained here that the Imperial Family, or at least the Imperial Household Agency, share in responsibility for the cover-up of Moyer's abuse of Japanese children. When the emperor was still crown prince, he was a close colleague of Jack Moyer. When the school would take no initiative to find and help Moyer's Japanese victims, I wrote a registered letter to the emperor c/o the Imperial Household Agency on 04.11.2013, explaining the Moyer scandal and asking for his help in reaching the many potential victims. The I.H.A. stonewalled me on this. A question to ask is whether the Imperial Household Agency and ASIJ have conspired to keep the lid on this, prolonging the period of suffering for Moyer's victims before they get help. Moyer's association with the Imperial Family was no secret to the school, so if they did not warn about his pedophile activities, ASIJ is responsible for exposing the children of the family to a serial sexual predator.

13. In a new interview with R&G on 29.10.2014, the new interviewer, Dowden, was properly prepared but was unable to answer questions I had about the investigation. He was not aware of the very informative Japan Times articles on the Moyer case from March and June. I sent him the journalist's contact information as a potentially valuable resource, but the final report gives no indication that Dowden ever followed up.
14. In early June 2015, representatives of the new Board of Directors met the Thirteen Sisters in Portland Oregon. The Sisters were allowed to preview the final R&G report dated 18.05.2015. The Sisters, the mediator and the board members agreed that "disgrace" was an appropriate description for it. Some also called it "ridiculous". Because the descriptions of the experiences of Moyer's victims were so inadequate, each Sister agreed to provide their story to be appended when the report was made public. In a 09.06.2015 posting on ASIJ Alumni, one Sister said "As for the report, in my opinion, it is a joke. What a huge waste of time and money. We went through such grief to contribute our stories to that report, only to have them all white washed and minimized. Compared to the Penn State report, the Ropes & Gray report is a complete failure. I knew we would never get a thorough story, but this is far from transparent or even close to being thorough. It's sad that ASIJ wasted so much money on the investigation, and a PR firm that also gave them terrible advice." Similar comments may be found in the Japan Times article dated 16.06.2015.
15. The final R&G report posted on ASIJ's website on 15.06.2015 was shockingly bad. In addition to all the information that was left out and other failures as described above, I discovered that R&G had a grossly distorted description of my exchanges of mails with the Board of Directors and Head of School in 2011-2013. It could mildly be described as outright dishonest. My report the next day entitled "Documentation of Dishonesty by Ropes & Gray" may be read at <http://www.asij1968.com/files/doc41-DavidBruns-06162015.pdf> I concluded "All of this is clear evidence that Ropes & Gray have deliberately misrepresented and hidden key facts in their reporting, facts that would implicate Edwin Ladd, Allan O'Bryant, Stephanie Toppino and other ASIJ directors in a willful cover-up of the Moyer scandal that has delayed getting help to Moyer's victims, prolonging their suffering for years"
16. It seems very unlikely that R&G could produce such a disgraceful report all on their own. I believe that distortion must have occurred through interference from ASIJ. Why one of the Statutory Auditors responsible for the report was terminated just before the report was released may provide a clue. Release of the correspondence regarding R&G's mandate between ASIJ and R&G is essential for understanding this. I have repeatedly requested release of all versions of the mandate, but the Board of Directors has stonewalled and ignored my requests. An extension of the investigation by Crew Janci LLP must get to the bottom of this.